

LEAN DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF A MILK-RUN DELIVERY SYSTEM: CASE STUDY

Ki-Hwan G. Bae

Lee A. Evans

Alan Summers

Department of Industrial Engineering

University of Louisville

J.B. Speed Building

Louisville, KY 40292, USA

ABSTRACT

Multiple discrete event simulation models are developed to represent a milk-run delivery system in an automobile emissions system production facility as part of a logistics system overhaul. The aim of this study is to analyze resupply configurations and variability in key model inputs in order to make recommendations based on supply train utilization and workstation starvation. This study includes three experiments that compare optimized routing, recommended routing, and on-demand resupply systems. Sensitivity analyses are conducted to measure the effects of various factors such as number of supply trains, travel speeds, and load and unload times to find the best combination of input parameters. The results of the proposed simulation models demonstrated potential impacts of a milk-run delivery framework on pull systems with limited transport capabilities, but diminished improvements on systems with multiple supply trains.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

As the global leader in the production of emissions systems for automobiles, an automotive company develops and manufactures complete exhaust systems from hot end manifold to cold end tailpipes. The facility located in Louisville, Kentucky was selected as the future model production site for the North American division. To achieve this feat, the plant manager established a number of improvement objectives including an internal centralized delivery system known as a *supermarket*.

The logistics team was tasked to develop an internal centralized supermarket delivery system for raw material storage in order to support lean manufacturing. The term lean refers to the implementation of a focused factory design that facilitates grouped technology, balanced production cells according to customer takt time, execution of a pull system for final and preceding lines, and emphasis on one-at-a-time production. In order to support lean manufacturing, the management designed a milk-run delivery system within the facility, stabilizing the on-hand inventory. The corporate business group provided resources for the site to develop and implement an internal storage location for raw material components. The raw materials were initially stored externally, on-site, under industrial sized canopies, on 48 inch by 45 inch pallets used for delivery via forklift. This method turned out to be difficult to manage and existed as an unnecessary security risk to profit loss, a decades old problem identified by Ireson (1952) in early factory planning literature. Furthermore, the production cells were forced to hold excess raw materials on line, which impacted the amount of floor space required and the length of lead time for customer products as a

- Daugherty, P. J., D. S. Rogers, and M. S. Spencer. 1994. "Just-in-Time Functional Model: Empirical Test and Validation." *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* 24(6): 20-28.
- De Haan, J. and M. Yamamoto. 1999. "Zero Inventory Management: Facts or Fiction? Lessons from Japan." *International Journal of Production Economics* 59: 65-75.
- Ding, F. and L. Cheng. 1993. "An Effective Mixed-Model Assembly Line Sequencing Heuristic for Just-in-Time Production Systems." *Journal of Operations Management* 11:45-50.
- Feld, W. M. 2001. *Lean Manufacturing: Tools, Techniques, and How To Use Them*. Boca Raton, Florida: St. Lucie Press.
- Gunasekaran, A. 1999. "Just-in-Time Purchasing: An Investigation for Research and Applications." *International Journal of Production Economics* 59:77-84.
- Hanson, R. and C. Finnsgard. 2014. "Impact of Unit Load Size on In-Plant Materials Supply Efficiency." *International Journal of Production Economics* 147:46-52.
- Heizer, J. and B. Render. 1991. *Production and Operations Management*. 2nd ed. Boston, Massachusetts: Allyn and Bacon.
- Ireson, W. G. 1952. *Factory Planning and Plant Layout*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Korytkowski, P. and R. Karkoszka. 2016. "Simulation-Based Efficiency of an In-Plant Milk-Run Operator under Disturbances." *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 82:827-837.
- Marchwinski, C. 2003. "Following Four Steps to a Lean Material-Handling System Leads to a Leap in Performance." Accessed 14 January 2016. www.lean.org.
- Miltenburg, J. 1989. "Level Schedules for Mixed-Model Assembly Lines in Just-in-Time Production Systems." *Management Science* 35(3):192-207.
- Ohno, T. and M. Setsuo. 1988. *Just-in-Time for Today and Tomorrow*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Productivity Press.
- Shingo, S. and A. P. Dillon. 1981. *A Study of the Toyota Production System*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Productivity Press.
- Slack, N., S. Chambers, and R. Johnson. 2010. *Operations Management*. 6th ed. London, England: Financial Times Prentice Hall.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

KI-HWAN G. BAE is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Industrial Engineering at the University of Louisville. His research interests include simulation and optimization modeling of healthcare, logistics, and manufacturing systems. His email address is kihwan.bae@louisville.edu.

LEE A. EVANS is a Ph.D. student in the Department of Industrial Engineering at the University of Louisville. His email address is laevan04@louisville.edu.

ALAN SUMMERS is an Industrial Engineer in the automotive manufacturing industry. He graduated from the University of Louisville with a Masters of Engineering. His email address is atsumm01@gmail.com.