### STOCHASTICALLY CONSTRAINED LEVEL SET APPROXIMATION VIA PROBABILISTIC BRANCH AND BOUND

Hao Huang

Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Yuan Ze University 135, Yuandong Rd., Zhongli Dist. Taoyuan City, 320315, TAIWAN Shing Chih Tsai

Department of Industrial and Information Management National Cheng Kung University No.1, University Road Tainan City, 70101, TAIWAN

Chuljin Park

Department of Industrial Engineering Hanyang University No.222, Wangsimni-ro, Seongdong-gu Seoul, 04763, SOUTH KOREA

## ABSTRACT

This paper investigates a simulation optimization problem with both stochastic objective and constraint functions with a discrete solution space. Our objective is to identify a set of near-optimal solutions within a specific quantile, such as the top 10%. To achieve this goal, we first employs a probabilistic branch-and-bound algorithm to find a level set of solutions. Then, we combine a penalty function approach with the probabilistic branch-and-bound algorithm to handle stochastically constrained problems. Both convergence analysis and experimental results are provided that demonstrate the superior efficiency of our proposed approaches over existing methods.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Simulation is a popular approach for complex decision-making problems involving uncertainty. For discrete decision variables, several simulation optimization (SO) methods exist, including ranking and selection procedures, retrospective optimization, and random search algorithms (Hong, Nelson, and Xu 2015). Research has also focused on discrete SO with stochastic constraints, where Park and Kim (2015) developed penalty function with memory (PFM). PFM uses a penalty parameter and a measure of violation that can handle boundary solutions. Most SO research aims for finite-time or asymptotic convergence guarantees, but the probabilistic branch-and-bound (PBnB) algorithm takes a different approach, seeking a level set of solutions reaching a specific quantile (Zabinsky and Huang 2020). The existing PBnB lacks consideration of stochastic constraints and is limited by conservativeness in critical parameters. Our contributions include extending PBnB for discrete SO problems with an asymptotic convergence guarantee, incorporating penalty function techniques, and developing a benchmark algorithm for comparison in extensive experiments.

# 2 METHODOLOGIES

The SO problem considered in this study is formed as  $\min_{x \in \Omega} g(x) = E[G(x)]$ , where  $\Omega$  is the feasible solutions bounded by stochastic constraints  $h_{\ell}(x) \leq Q_{\ell}$ , for all  $\ell = 1, ..., m$ . The goal of this study is to approximate the target level set  $L(\delta, \Omega)$ , which is the top 100 $\delta$  percent of solutions in the feasible region. We first introduce one of the PFM,  $PS_2$ , from Park and Kim (2015), which convert the SO problem into

#### Huang, Tsai, and Park

 $\min_{\substack{x \in \Theta \\ \bar{Z}_k(x)}} z(x). \Theta \text{ is the set of all solutions including feasible and infeasible ones, and } z(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \bar{Z}_k(x).$  $\bar{Z}_k(x) = \bar{G}_k(x) + \sum_{\ell} \lambda_{\ell k} [S_{\ell k}(x)]^+ \text{ is the penalized objective function calculated based on } PS_2.$ 

Using  $\bar{Z}_k(x)$  as the objective function, we proposed the discrete version of PBnB to approximate the target level set for discrete SO problems with stochastic constraints. The discrete PBnB follows a similar framework with the original PBnB (Zabinsky and Huang 2020). PBnB iteratively uses samples solutions to estimate the quantile bounding the target level set, and it categorize subregions of the domain space into maintained, pruned, and current subregions. These categories representing the part of the solutions is part of the target level set or not, where maintained subregions are considered to be part of the target level set. There are three phases of the discrete PBnB: (1) Sampling & Quantile Estimation; (2) Subregions Updating; (3) Branching & Terminating. Specifically, the discrete PBnB randomly allocates different size of observations in different types of subergions, where more observations are allocated to the undecided current subregions. Then, using the observed samples, the discrete PBnB estimates the quantile which is used as the threshold for the categorization of subregions. Also, the discrete PBnB allows returning to current subregions, but the original PBnB does not.

#### **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Both convergence analysis and experimental results are provided for the  $PS_2$  incorporated discrete PBnB. First, the convergence analysis leads to the maintained subregions provided by the  $PS_2$  incorporated discrete PBnB converging to the target level set as the iteration  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Second, in the numerical experiment, Goldstein-Price function with two types of constraints are the implemented as the testing environment. The the  $PS_2$  incorporated discrete PBnB is compared with a two-stage PBnB algorithm. The two-stage PBnB algorithm implements a feasible region detection PBnB (Tsai et al. 2018) first and use the discrete PBnB to identify the target level set. Two metrics are used in the comparison, ratio of the target level set maintained and the accuracy of the maintained subregion. As shown in Table 1,  $PS_2$  incorporated discrete PBnB performs slightly better with the easy constraint, where the difference between the ratio maintained expanded during the hard constraint. The difference could be caused by the incorrect feasibility identification for the two-stage PBnB during the first stage, that loses part of the target level set.

| Table 1. Numerical Experiment Results |                             |          |                  |          |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Goldstein-Price                       | <i>PS</i> <sub>2</sub> PBnB |          | Two-Stage PBnB   |          |
|                                       | Ratio Maintained            | Accuracy | Ratio Maintained | Accuracy |
| Easy constraint                       | 0.9943                      | 0.9950   | 0.9659           | 0.9586   |
| Hard constraint                       | 0.9731                      | 0.9731   | 0.9012           | 0.9421   |

#### REFERENCES

- Hong, L.J., B.L. Nelson, and J. Xu. 2015. "Discrete optimization via simulation". In *Handbook of Simulation Optimization*, edited by M.C. Fu, 9-44. New York: Springer.
- Park, C., and S.-H. Kim. 2015. "Penalty function with memory for discrete optimization via simulation with stochastic constraints". *Operations Research* 63 (5):1195–1212.
- Tsai, Y.A., G. Pedrielli, L. Mathesen, H. Huang, Z.B. Zabinsky, A. Candelieri, and R. Perego. 2018. "Stochastic optimization for feasibility determination: An application to water pump operation in water distribution networks. In *Proceedings of 2018 Winter Simulation Conference*, edited by M. Rabe, A. Juan, N. Mustafee, A. Skoogh, S. Jain, and B. Johansson, 1945–1956. Piscataway, NJ: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
- Zabinsky, Z. B., and H. Huang. 2020. "A partition-based optimization approach for level set approximation: Probabilistic branch and bound". In: Women in Industrial and Systems Engineering: Key Advances and Perspectives on Emerging Topics, edited by A.E. Smith, 113–155, Switzerland: Springer Nature.