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ABSTRACT

We consider the problem of estimating tail probabilities of random sums of infinite mixtures of phase-type (IMPH) distributions — a class of distributions corresponding to random variables which can be represented as a product of an arbitrary random variable with a classical phase-type distribution. Our motivation arises from applications in risk and queueing problems. Classical rare-event simulation algorithms cannot be implemented in this setting because these typically rely on the availability of the CDF or the MGF, but these are difficult to compute or not even available for the class of IMPH distributions. In this paper, we address these issues and propose alternative simulation methods for estimating tail probabilities of random sums of IMPH distributions; our algorithms combine importance sampling and conditional Monte Carlo methods. The empirical performance of each method suggested is explored via numerical experimentation.

1 INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the problem of estimating efficiently the quantity

\[ \ell(u) = \mathbb{P}(S_M > u), \]

where \( S_M = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_M \), for large \( u \). Specifically, \( M \) is a discrete light-tailed random variable supported over positive integers and \( \{Z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is independent of \( M \) and forms a sequence of independent, nonnegative and identically distributed random variables having stochastic representation

\[ Z_i = W_i X_i. \]

In the above, the random variables \( W_i \) and \( X_i \) are nonnegative and independent of each other. In this paper we will specialize to the case where the distribution of \( X_i \) belongs the class of phase-type (PH) distributions and \( W_i \) is a nonnegative but otherwise general random variable.

Tail probabilities of random sums are quantities of interest in many areas; for instance, in risk theory \( \{Z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) corresponds to ladder heights (integrated tails of the claim sizes) in a Cramér–Lundberg model while \( M \) corresponds to number of ladder heights. Hence, the tail probability of the associated random sum corresponds to the ruin probability with initial capital \( u \), see for example Asmussen and Albrecher (2010). Stable single server Markovian queues with service times \( Z_i \) have a geometric length in equilibrium, so \( \ell(u) \) is the probability that an arriving customer must wait longer than \( u \), see for example Asmussen (2003).

The problem of approximating tail probabilities of random sums has been extensively explored both for light- and heavy-tailed summands. Large deviations, saddlepoint approximations, and exponential change...
of measure are among the classical methods most often used. These methods rely on the existence of the moment generating function (MGF) of $Z_i$, so are limited to light-tailed cases. In the heavy-tailed case, subexponential theory provides asymptotic approximations but these offer poor accuracy for moderately large values of $u$ (Foss, Korshunov, and Zachary 2013). From a rare-event simulation perspective, there are a few efficient estimators proposed in the literature (Asmussen, Binswanger, and Højgaard 2000, Juneja and Shahabuddin 2002, Asmussen and Kroese 2006). Simulation methods for the heavy-tailed case often require just the cumulative distribution function (CDF) or probability density function (PDF) of $Z_i$ so these are frequently and easily implemented.

In our setting, the sequence $\{Z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ belongs to the class of infinite mixtures of phase-type (IMPH) distributions, which can be represented as a product $W_iX_i$, where each $W_i$ is a nonnegative but otherwise arbitrary random variable and $X_i$ is a classical PH-distributed random variable. Recently, Bladt, Nielsen, and Samorodnitsky (2015) proposed a subclass of IMPH-distributions to approximate any heavy-tailed distribution. Such a new class is very attractive in stochastic modeling because it inherits many important properties of PH-distributions — including being dense in the class of nonnegative distributions and closed under finite convolutions — while it also circumvents the problem that individual PH-distributions are light-tailed, implying that the tail behavior of a heavy-tailed distribution cannot be captured correctly by classical PH-distributions. A distribution in the IMPH class is heavy-tailed if and only if the random variable $W_i$ has unbounded support (Rojas-Nandayapa and Xie 2015).

The CDF and PDF of a distribution in the IMPH class are both available in closed form but these are given in terms of infinite dimensional matrices. The problem of approximating tail probabilities of IMPH-distributed random variables is not easily tractable from a computational perspective. Bladt, Nielsen, and Samorodnitsky (2015) addressed this issue and proposed a methodology which can be easily adapted to compute geometric random sums of IMPH-distributions. Their approach is based on an infinite series representation of the tail probability of the geometric sum which can be computed to any desired precision at the cost of increased computational effort. In this paper we explore an obvious alternative approach: rare-event estimation.

More precisely, we propose and analyze simulation methodologies to approximate the tail probabilities of a random sum of IMPH-distributed random variables. We remark that since the CDF and PDF of a distribution in the IMPH class is effectively not available, most algorithms for the heavy-tailed setting discussed above cannot be implemented. Our approach is to use conditioning arguments and adapt and combine a variety of well-established rare-event simulation methodologies. The proposed algorithms are as follow:

1. Our first algorithm exploits the convolution closure property of PH-distributions. Conditional on the random variable $M$ and the scaling variables $W_i$, the random sum of IMPH random variables reduces to a convolution of classical PH-distributions. As a consequence of the closure property, the latter is just a classical PH random variable which can be easily simulated and whose tail probabilities can be readily obtained at a low computational cost.

2. Our second algorithm ‘boosts’ the first by using importance sampling (IS) over the scaling random variables $W_i$. While a distribution in the IMPH class is heavy-tailed if the scaling random variable $W_i$ is unbounded (Rojas-Nandayapa and Xie 2015), the random variable $W_i$ itself is not necessarily heavy-tailed so we can apply light-tailed IS techniques to it. We explore exponential twisting for light-tailed scaling random variables and adapt hazard rate twisting for heavy-tailed scaling random variables.

3. Our third algorithm adapts the Asmussen–Kroese estimator (Asmussen and Kroese 2006) for scaling random variables with unbounded support. The Asmussen–Kroese approach is usually not directly implementable in our setting because the CDF of the product $W_iX_i$ is typically not available. We address this issue using a simple conditioning argument on the scaling random variable $W_i$, thereby simply simulating the PH-distribution and using the CDF of $W_i$.\[348\]
The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide background knowledge on PH- and IMPH-distributions. In Section 3 we introduce and discuss the proposed algorithms. In Section 4 we present the empirical results for several examples. Section 5 provides some concluding remarks and an outlook to future work.

2 PRELIMINARIES

In this section we provide a general overview of classical PH- and IMPH-distributions.

2.1 Phase-type Distributions and Properties

PH-distributions have been used in stochastic modeling since being introduced in Neuts (1975). Apart from being mathematically tractable, PH-distributions have the additional appealing feature of being dense in the class of nonnegative distributions. That is, for any distribution on the positive real axis there exists a sequence of PH-distributions which converges weakly to the target distribution (see Asmussen (2003) for details). In other words, PH-distributions may approximate arbitrarily closely any distribution with support on \([0, \infty)\).

In order to define a PH-distribution, we first consider a continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC) \(\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}\) on the finite state space \(E = \{1, 2, \ldots, p\} \cup \{\triangle\}\), where states 1, 2, \ldots, \(p\) are transient and state \(\triangle\) is absorbing. Further, let the process have an initial probability of starting in any of the \(p\) transient phases given by the \(1 \times p\) probability vector \(\alpha\), with \(\alpha_i \geq 0\) and \(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \leq 1\). Hence, the process \(\{Y(t) : t \geq 0\}\) has an intensity matrix (or infinitesimal generator) \(Q\) of the form:

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
T & t \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(T\) is a \(p \times p\) sub-intensity matrix of transition rates between the transient states, \(t\) is a \(p \times 1\) vector of transition rates to the absorbing state, and \(0\) is a \(1 \times p\) zero row vector.

The (continuous) PH-distribution is the distribution of time until absorption of \(\{Y(t) : t \geq 0\}\). The 2-tuple \((\alpha, T)\) completely specifies the PH-distribution, and is called a PH-representation. The CDF is given by:

\[
F(y) = 1 - \alpha \exp(Ty)1, \quad y \geq 0,
\]

where 1 is a column vector with all ones.

Besides being dense in the nonnegative distributions, the class of continuous PH-distributions forms the smallest family of distributions on \(\mathbb{R}_+\) which contains the point mass at zero and all exponential distributions, and is closed under finite mixtures, convolutions, and infinite mixtures (among other interesting properties). In particular, we will exploit the property that the class of PH-distributions is closed under convolution in Section 3.

2.2 Infinite Mixtures of Phase-type Distributions

A random variable \(Z\) of the form

\[
Z := WX,
\]

is IMPH-distributed if \(X \sim F\), where \(F\) is a PH-distribution, and \(W \sim H\), where \(H\) is an arbitrary nonnegative distribution. In this paper we will be mostly interested in the case where \(H\) has unbounded support. We call \(W\) the scaling random variable and \(H\) the scaling distribution. It follows that the CDF of \(Z\) can be written as the Mellin–Stieltjes convolution of the two nonnegative distributions \(F\) and \(H\):

\[
B(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(z/w) dH(w), \quad z \geq 0.
\]
The integral expression above is available in closed form in very few isolated cases. Thus, we should rely on integration or simulation methods for its computation. Nevertheless, we can easily obtain the distribution of $Z$ given $W = w$, namely

$$(Z|W = w) \sim F_w,$$

where $F_w(z) := F(z/w)$, which is the CDF of the scaled random variable expressed as $w \cdot X$. We call $F_w$ a scaled PH-distribution. Note that $F_w$ remains PH-distribution (Neuts 1981).

The key motivation for considering the class of IMPH over the class of PH is that the latter class forms a subclass of light-tailed distributions while a distribution in the former class having unbounded scaling distribution is heavy-tailed (Rojas-Nandayapa and Xie 2015). Recall that a nonnegative random variable $W$ has a heavy-tailed distribution if and only if $\mathbb{E}(e^{\theta W}) = \infty$, $\forall \theta > 0$, equivalently, if $\limsup_{w \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(W > w)e^{\theta w} = \infty$, $\forall \theta > 0$. Otherwise, we say $W$ is light-tailed.

Hence, the class of IMPH-distributions turns out to be an appealing tractable class for approximating heavy-tailed distributions.

3 SIMULATION METHODS

In this section, we introduce our rare-event simulation estimators for $\ell(u)$. The key approach is Conditional Monte Carlo (CondMC) — a well known variance reduction technique. The method is based on the Rao–Blackwell theorem (cf. Mood, Graybill, and Boes 1974) which says that the variance of an estimator conditional on a set of sufficient statistics is smaller than or equal to the variance of the original estimator; that is

$$\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[\ell(u)|T]) \leq \text{Var}[\ell(u)],$$

for some sufficient statistic $T$. In practical terms, one should be able to simulate $T$ and compute $\mathbb{E}[\ell(u)|T]$.

3.1 Conditional Monte Carlo

First, we will apply CondMC to the Crude Monte Carlo estimator $\hat{\ell}(u) = \mathbb{1}_{\sum_{i=1}^M Z_i > u}$. We will condition on $M, W_1, \ldots, W_M$ so that we obtain

$$\ell(u) = \mathbb{E}[\ell(u)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\ell(u)|M, W_1, \ldots, W_M]] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^M Z_i > u|M, W_1, \ldots, W_M)].$$

The key idea here is that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\ell}(u)|M, W_1, \ldots, W_M]$ can be computed explicitly because, conditional on $M, W_1, \ldots, W_M$, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^M Z_i$ is just a convolution of scaled PH-distributions. The closure property under convolution of the class of PH-distributions yields

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^M Z_i | M, W_1, \ldots, W_M\right) \sim \text{PH}(\gamma, Q(M, W_1, \ldots, W_M)),$$

where

$$\gamma = (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad Q := Q(M, W_1, \ldots, W_M) = \begin{pmatrix}
T_1 & t_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & T_2 & t_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & T_{M-1} & t_{M-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & T_M
\end{pmatrix},$$

with $T_i = T_i/W_i$, and $t_i = -T_i\alpha/W_i$. Therefore, the CondMC estimator takes the form

$$\hat{\ell}_{\text{CondMC}}(u) = \gamma e^{Qu} \mathbf{1}.$$

These results are summarized in the following algorithm used to generate a single replicate:
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3.2 Boosting via Importance Sampling
The previous algorithm is still considered crude because the random variables $M, W_1,\ldots, W_M$ were simulated from their original distributions. In this section, we considering improving the efficiency of our algorithm by implementing IS over the distribution $H$. Inspired by popular methodologies drawn from light-tailed and heavy-tailed problems, we suggest two alternative algorithms.
3.2.1 Exponential Twisting
We first consider the case of light-tailed scaling distributions. The exponential twisting method is asymptotically efficient for tail probabilities of random sums with light-tailed summands (Siegmund 1976). The method is specified as follows:

Define an exponential family of PDFs \( \{h_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\} \) based on the original PDF of the scaling random variable, \( h \), via

\[
h_\theta(w_i) = \frac{e^{\theta w_i}}{M(\theta)} h(w_i) = e^{\theta w_i - \ln M(\theta)} h(w_i),
\]

where \( \tilde{M}(\theta) = \int e^{\theta w_i} h(w_i) dw_i \) is the MGF of \( W_i \). The likelihood ratio of a single element associated with this change of measure is

\[
\frac{h(W_i)}{h_\theta(W_i)} = e^{-\theta W_i + \zeta(\theta)},
\]

where \( \zeta(\theta) = \ln \tilde{M}(\theta) \) is the cumulant function of \( W_i \). Then the twisted mean is \( \mu_\theta = \mathbb{E}_\theta(W_i) = \zeta'(\theta) \) (Kroese, Taimre, and Botev 2011).

The selection of a proper twisting parameter \( \theta \) is a key aspect in the implementation of this algorithm. For dealing with the random sum (1), we select the twisting parameter \( \theta \) such that the changed mean of the random sum is equal to the threshold, that is, \( \mathbb{E}_\theta(S_M) = u \). This selection of the value of \( \theta \) is critical for the performance of the algorithm and it is justified by Cramér’s Large Deviation Theorem, which implies that this selection is asymptotically optimal.

However, this approach is not directly applicable to our problem because the MGF of a heavy-tailed random variable is not defined, so the equation \( \mathbb{E}_\theta(S_M) = u \), does not have a solution. Nevertheless, in the case with light-tailed scalings an exponential change of measure can still be applied to the random variables \( W_i \). Inspired by Siegmund’s algorithm we propose to select the twisting parameter \( \theta \) as the solution of the equation \( \mathbb{E}_\theta(\sum_{i=1}^M Z_i | M) = u \). Note that \( \mathbb{E}_\theta(\sum_{i=1}^M Z_i | M) = M \mathbb{E}_\theta(W_i) \mathbb{E}[X_i] \). Since our estimator is conditional on \( M \), we obtain a twisting parameter which depends on \( M \), so we choose the value \( \theta(M) \) which solves \( \zeta'(\theta(M)) = u \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_i] \).

These results are summarized in the following algorithm used to generate a single replicate:

Algorithm 1 (Conditional Monte Carlo)

1. Generate \( M \).
2. Generate \( W_1,\ldots, W_M \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} H \).
3. Compute \( \gamma \) and \( Q \).
4. Return \( \gamma e^{Q \cdot 1} \).

Algorithm 2 (Conditional Monte Carlo with Exponential Twisting)

1. Generate \( M \), and determine \( \theta(M) \) from \( \zeta'(\theta(M)) = u \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_i] \).
2. Generate \( W_1,\ldots, W_M \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} H_{\theta(M)} \).
3. Compute \( \gamma, Q, \) and the likelihood ratio \( L := e^{M \zeta'(\theta(M)) - \theta(M) \sum_{i=1}^M W_i} \).
4. Return \( \gamma e^{Q \cdot 1} \times L \).
3.2.2 Hazard Rate Twisting

In this section, we consider the case in which scaling distributions are heavy-tailed. Writing the PDF of the scaling random variable \( W_i \) as \( h \), its hazard rate is denoted by \( \lambda(w_i) = h(w_i)/\overline{H}(w_i) \). Let \( \Lambda(w_i) = \int_{0}^{w_i} \lambda(y)dy = -\ln\overline{H}(w_i) \) denote the hazard function. Juneja and Shahabuddin (2002) introduced hazard rate twisting and the hazard rate twisted density with parameter \( \theta \), \( 0 \leq \theta < 1 \):

\[
h_\theta(w_i) = \frac{h(w_i)e^{\theta\Lambda(w_i)}}{\tilde{M}(\theta)},
\]

where \( \tilde{M}(\theta) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} h(w_i)e^{\theta\Lambda(w_i)}dw_i \), which is the normalization constant. The resulting twisted PDF is

\[
h_\theta(w_i) = \lambda(w_i)(1 - \theta)e^{-\int_{0}^{w_i}(1-\theta)\lambda(y)dy}, \quad w_i \geq 0,
\]

where \( \theta = 1 - m/\Lambda(u) \). This choice of parameter is proved to be asymptotically optimal (Juneja and Shahabuddin 2002).

The following equation will later be useful to compute the twisted tail probability:

\[
\overline{H}_\theta(w_i) = \int_{w_i}^{\infty} \lambda(t)(1 - \theta)e^{-\int_{0}^{t}(1-\theta)\lambda(y)dy}dt = \int_{w_i}^{\infty} (1 - \theta) \frac{h(t)}{\overline{H}(t)} e^{-(1-\theta)(-\ln\overline{H}(t))}dt = \left[ \overline{H}(w_i) \right]^{1-\theta}. \tag{2}
\]

Thus, conditional on \( M = m \), and taking into account \( \mathbb{E}(X_i) \), the likelihood ratio of a single element associate with this change of measure is

\[
\frac{h(W_i)}{h_\theta(W_i)} = \frac{1}{1 - \theta(m)}e^{-\theta(m)\Lambda(W_i)},
\]

where \( \theta(m) = 1 - m/\Lambda(u/\mathbb{E}(X_i)) \).

These results are summarized in the following algorithm used to generate a single replicate:

**Algorithm 3** (Conditional Monte Carlo with Hazard Rate Twisting)

1. Generate \( M \), and determine \( \theta(M) = 1 - M/\Lambda(u/\mathbb{E}(X_i)) \).
2. Generate \( W_1, \ldots, W_M \overset{iid}{\sim} H_{\theta(M)} \).
3. Compute \( \gamma, Q \), and the likelihood ratio \( L := (1 - \theta(M))^{-M}e^{-\theta(M)\Sigma_{i=1}^{M} \Lambda(W_i)} \).
4. Return \( \gamma e^{QW}1 \times L \).

3.3 Asmussen–Kroese-type Algorithm

The Asmussen–Kroese estimator (Asmussen and Kroese 2006) is efficient for estimating tail probabilities of light-tailed random sums of heavy-tailed summands (Hartinger and Kortschak 2009). Such an algorithm is based on the “principle of the single large jump” — a property held by a subclass of heavy-tailed distributions called subexponential and which includes practically all common heavy-tailed distributions. The principle of the single large jump indicates that the most likely scenario in which a sum of subexponential random variables becomes large is because of a single summand taking a large value as opposed to the scenario where two or more summands take large values. The key idea is based on the following symmetry argument:

\[
P(S_M > u|M = m) = mP(S_m > u, Z_m = \max\{Z_i, i = 1, \ldots, m\}) = m\mathbb{E}B(Z_{m-1} \vee (u - S_{m-1})) ,
\]
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where $\overline{B}(\cdot)$ is the complementary CDF of $Z_i$, $Z_{m-1}^* = \max\{Z_i, i = 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$, $S_{m-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} Z_i$, and $x \vee y = \max(x, y)$.

In our setting, the summands $Z_i = W_iX_i$ are heavy-tailed whenever $W_i$ has unbounded support (Rojas-Nandayapa and Xie 2015) and so it is natural to consider this estimator here. Unfortunately, the Asmussen–Kroese approach is usually not directly implementable in our setting because the CDF of $Z_i$ is typically unavailable.

Instead, we consider a simple modification, by conditioning on a single scaling random variable $W$. We further consider applying a change of measure to this single random variable. Conditional on unavailable.

In our context, we simply condition on $W = w$, to arrive at

$$m \overline{B}(Z_{m-1}^* (u - S_{m-1})),$$

where $\overline{F}_w(\cdot)$ is the complementary CDF of a scaled PH random variable, which remains phase-type. We then apply the same IS idea as in the previous section — ensuring that the random sum after conditioning is equal in expectation to $u$ — to twisting only the scaling random variable of $Z_m$. We then arrive at the following estimator for a single replicate:

$$M \overline{F}_w(Z_{m-1}^* (u - S_{m-1})) \times \frac{h(W)}{h_{\theta}(W)}.$$

Since $M$ is random, we combine the estimator above with a control variable, and this yields the estimator:

$$M \overline{F}_w(Z_{m-1}^* (u - S_{m-1})) \times \frac{h(W)}{h_{\theta}(W)} - (M - \mathbb{E}(M)) \overline{F}_w(u) \times \frac{h(W)}{h_{\theta}(W)}.$$

These results are summarized in the following algorithm used to generate a single replicate:

**Algorithm 4** (Conditional Asmussen–Kroese with Importance Sampling)

1. Generate $M$.
2. Generate $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{M-1}$ as $Z_i = W_iX_i$ with $W_1, \ldots, W_{M-1} \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} H$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_{M-1} \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} F$.
3. Compute $S_{M-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} Z_i$, find $Z_{M-1}^* (u - S_{M-1})$, and determine $\theta$ from $\zeta(\theta) = (Z_{M-1}^* (u - S_{M-1})/\mathbb{E}(X))$ (light-tailed $W$) or $\theta = 1 - 1/\Lambda((Z_{M-1}^* (u - S_{M-1})/\mathbb{E}(X)))$ (heavy-tailed $W$).
4. Generate $W \sim H_\theta$ and compute the likelihood ratio $L := e^{\zeta(\theta) - \theta W}$ (light-tailed $W$) or $L := (1 - \theta)^{-1} e^{-\theta \Lambda(W)}$ (heavy-tailed $W$).
5. Return $M \overline{F}_w(Z_{M-1}^* (u - S_{M-1})) \times L - (M - \mathbb{E}(M)) \overline{F}_w(u) \times L$.

4 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we provide three simple sets of numerical experiments to illustrate the proposed simulation methods:

- CondMC+IS and AK+IS, the scaling distributions are light-tailed (Exponentially distributed).
- CondMC+IS and AK+IS, the scaling distributions are heavy-tailed (Pareto distributed).
- CondMC+IS and AK+IS, the scaling distributions are heavy-tailed (Lognormal distributed).

**Remark.** For CondMC+IS, other than applying IS to all the scaling random variables $W_i$, we also implement IS over the distribution of the maximum of $\{W_i\}$ for all experiments above. In this case, we determine $\theta$ from $\zeta(\theta) = u/\mathbb{E}(X)$ (light-tailed $W$) or $\theta = 1 - 1/\Lambda(u/\mathbb{E}(X))$ (heavy-tailed $W$). We denote this algorithm as CondMC+ISM.
In all illustrative cases, we consider each of the $W_i$ to have support on all of $[0, \infty)$ and each of the $X_i$ to be Erlang distributed, as Erlang distributions play an important role in the class of PH-distributions (O’Cinneide 1991, He 2014). The class of generalized Erlang distributions is dense in the set of all probability distributions on the nonnegative half-line. In addition, for fixed number of phases, Erlang distributions have the smallest coefficient of variation within the class of PH-distributions (Aldous and Shepp 1987). We remind the reader that in all cases the resulting product $Z_i = W_i X_i$ is heavy-tailed. In order to illustrate the efficiency of the estimators, we estimate the quantity $\log(\Var(\hat{\ell}(u))) / 2 \log(\ell(u))$ by a simulation estimate of $\log(\Var(\hat{\ell}(u))) / 2 \log(\E(\hat{\ell}(u)))$. The estimator is said to be logarithmically efficient if

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(\Var(\hat{\ell}(u)))}{2 \log(\ell(u))} \geq 1.$$ Note that we do not include numerical results for CondMC without IS, as the efficiency of this estimator is typically vastly inferior to CondMC+IS.

**Example 1** (Light-tailed Scaling: Exponential) Let $W_i \sim \text{Exp}(\mu)$ be the scaling random variable, with PDF

$$h(w_i) = \mu e^{-\mu w_i}, \quad w_i \geq 0,$$
and consider $X_i \sim \text{Erlang}(n, \lambda)$, with PDF

$$f(x_i) = \frac{\lambda^n x_i^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x_i}}{(n-1)!}, \quad x_i \geq 0.$$ The resulting exponentially-twisted PDF is

$$h_{\theta}(w_i) = \mu_\theta e^{-\mu_\theta w_i}, \quad w_i \geq 0,$$
where $\mu_\theta < \mu$. Hence the likelihood ratio for a single scaling element is given by

$$\frac{h(W_i)}{h_{\theta}(W_i)} = \frac{\mu}{\mu_\theta} e^{-(\mu - \mu_\theta) W_i}.$$ Thus, conditional on $M$, we solve $\zeta'(\theta(M)) = u / (M \E(X_i))$ using $\zeta(\theta) = \ln(\mu / (\mu - \theta))$, resulting in $\theta(M) = \mu - Mn / (u \lambda)$, and $\mu_\theta = Mn / (u \lambda)$. The likelihood ratio for CondMC+IS, conditional on $M$, for all scaling elements given by

$$L = \left( \frac{u \lambda \mu}{Mn} \right)^M e^{-\left(\mu - Mn / (u \lambda)\right) \sum_{i=1}^M W_i}.$$ Taking a sample size of $N = 10^5$, parameters $\mu = 1$, $n = 3$, $\lambda = 3$, and $M \sim \text{Geo}(p)$ with $p = 0.8$, we apply the CondMC+IS algorithm 2, CondMC+ISM, and the AK+IS algorithm 4 to this problem. The corresponding estimates of $\hat{\ell}(u)$ for $u$ in the range $[0, 10^4]$, and empirical logarithmic rates (by estimating $\log(\Var(\hat{\ell}(u))) / 2 \log(\ell(u))$) are shown in Figure 1.

**Example 2** (Heavy-tailed Scaling: Pareto) Let the scaling random variable $W_i$ be Pareto distributed, with PDF

$$h(w_i) = \frac{\alpha}{w_i^{\alpha+1}}, \quad w_i \geq 1,$$
and consider $X_i \sim \text{Erlang}(n, \lambda)$. Then the resulting hazard-rate twisted PDF is

$$h_{\theta}(w_i) = \frac{\alpha(1 - \theta)}{w_i^{\alpha+1-\theta}}, \quad w_i \geq 1.$$
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Figure 1: The random variable \( M \) is Geometric distributed with success probability \( p = 0.8 \), \( W_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1) \), \( X_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Erlang}(3,3) \).

Hence the likelihood ratio for a single scaling element is given by

\[
\frac{h(W_i)}{h_\theta(W_i)} = \frac{1}{1 - \theta} W_i^{-\theta \alpha}.
\]

Thus, conditional on \( M \), we set \( \theta(M) = 1 - M/\Lambda(u/\mathbb{E}(X_i)) \). Since \( \Lambda(w_i) = \alpha \ln(w_i) \) in this case, the likelihood ratio for CondMC+IS, conditional on \( M \), for all scaling elements is

\[
L := \left( \frac{\alpha}{M} \ln \left( \frac{u \lambda}{n} \right) \right)^M \left( \prod_{i=1}^M W_i \right)^{-\left(\alpha - M/\ln(u \lambda / n)\right)}.
\]

Taking a sample size of \( N = 10^5 \), parameters \( \alpha = 4 \), \( n = 3 \), \( \lambda = 3 \), and \( M \sim \text{Geo}(p) \) with \( p = 0.8 \), we apply the CondMC+IS algorithm 3, CondMC+ISM, and the AK+IS algorithm 4 to this problem. The corresponding estimates of \( \hat{\ell}(u) \) for \( u \) in the range \([0, 10^5]\), and empirical logarithmic rates (by estimating \( \log(\text{Var}(\hat{\ell}(u)))/2\log(\ell(u)) \)) are shown in Figure 2.

Example 3 (Heavy-tailed Scaling: Lognormal) Consider now \( X_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Erlang}(n, \lambda) \), and the scaling random variable \( W_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{LogN}(\mu, \sigma^2) \) with PDF

\[
h(w_i) = \frac{1}{w_i \sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln w_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad w_i > 0,
\]

with corresponding CDF

\[
H(w_i) = \Phi \left( \frac{\ln w_i - \mu}{\sigma} \right), \quad w_i > 0,
\]

where \( \Phi(\cdot) \) is the CDF of a standard normal random variate.

The likelihood ratio for a single scaling element is given by

\[
\frac{h(W_i)}{h_\theta(W_i)} = \frac{1}{1 - \theta(m)} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^M W_i \right]^{\theta(m)},
\]
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(a) Estimates of $\ell(u)$ on a logarithmic scale.
CondMC+IS: Red stars. AK+IS: Blue diamonds. 
CondMC+ISM: Black crosses.

(b) Empirical logarithmic rate as a function of $u$.
CondMC+IS: Red stars. AK+IS: Blue diamonds. 
CondMC+ISM: Black crosses.

Figure 2: The random variable $M$ is Geometric distributed with success probability $p = 0.8$, $W_i \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} \text{Pareto}(4)$, $X_i \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} \text{Erlang}(3,3)$.

where, conditional on $M$, $\theta \equiv \theta(M) = 1 - M/\Lambda(u/\mathbb{E}(X_i))$. The likelihood ratio for CondMC+IS, conditional on $M$, for all scaling elements is

$$L := (1 - \theta(M))^{-M} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{M} \overline{H}(W_i) \right]^{\theta(M)}.$$

We note that from (2), we have $\overline{H}_\theta(w_i) = [\overline{H}(w_i)]^{1-\theta}$, and so it is straightforward to generate the twisted scaling random variables by using the inverse transform method.

Taking a sample size of $N = 10^5$, parameters $\mu = 0$, $\sigma^2 = 4$, $n = 3$, $\lambda = 3$, and $M \sim \text{Geo}(p)$ with $p = 0.8$, we apply the CondMC+IS algorithm 3, CondMC+ISM, and the AK+IS algorithm 4 to this problem. The corresponding estimates of $\ell(u)$ for $u$ in the range $[0, 10^5)$, and empirical logarithmic rates (by estimating $\log(\text{Var}(\ell(u))) / 2\log(\ell(u))$) are shown in Figure 3.

5 DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

In this paper, we proposed straightforward simulation methods for estimating tail probabilities of random sums of IMPH-distributed summands, exploiting the inherent structure of the summands and properties of the class of PH-distributions along the way.

When comparing the proposed CondMC+IS, CondMC+ISM, and AK+IS approaches in three examples, we simply take the distribution of $M$ to be geometric. We observe that all methods appear to be producing sharp estimates of the tail probabilities. The AK+IS and CondMC+ISM methods appear to attain logarithmic efficiency, as the empirical logarithmic rates tend to one when $u$ tends to infinity. One cautionary point is that the empirical logarithmic rates for the CondMC+IS method exhibit large variability for the exponential scaling and Pareto scaling examples. This behaviour is often due to inefficient second moment estimates as a result of large skewness in the likelihood ratio terms. Figure 1b, 2b, and 3b indicate that the variability is eliminated by modifying CondMC+IS (i.e. CondMC+ISM). In contrast, the empirical logarithmic rate for the AK+IS estimators exhibit stable behaviour. However, it is known that this estimator can perform poorly when the number of summands is large. Additional stratification strategies such as that proposed...
(a) Estimates of $\hat{\ell}(u)$ on a logarithmic scale.
CondMC+IS: Red stars. AK+IS: Blue diamonds.
CondMC+ISM: Black crosses.

(b) Empirical logarithmic rate as a function of $u$.
CondMC+IS: Red stars. AK+IS: Blue diamonds.
CondMC+ISM: Black crosses.

Figure 3: The random variable $M$ is Geometric distributed with success probability $p = 0.8$, $W_i \sim \text{LogN}(0, 4)$, $X_i \sim \text{Erlang}(3, 3)$.

in Ghamami and Ross (2012) can be implemented to improve the accuracy of this estimator. Aside from the examples shown, we have tested the methods with various other PH-distributions for the $\{X_i\}$, as well as with other light-tailed distributions for $M$ (such as Poisson). The methods appear to perform rather similarly.

At present, the parameters of the IS densities are chosen heuristically to ensure that the probability of interest is no longer rare under these changes of measure. One key question which we have not addressed in this work is how to choose this parameters in a principled — and ideally asymptotically optimal — way. Proof of the theoretical efficiency properties of the proposed estimators is a subject for future research. Furthermore, in the present work we have assumed for simplicity that all of the random variables in the sum are independent and Erlang distributed. Our work can be easily generalized to include matrix exponential distributions. In addition, the AK+IS can be applied to estimate the tail probabilities of random sum of product of two random variables as long as the product is subexponentially distributed (i.e. not simply limited to one of the terms in the product being phase-type). The assumption of independence is not realistic in typical applications. An interesting avenue for further work is to develop effective simulation methods for this problem when there is structured dependence between the random variables.

Finally, a full numerical study comparing the simulation methodologies against the numerical scheme proposed by Bladt, Nielsen, and Samorodnitsky (2015) is still due.
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